## Regression II

Ye Wang University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Mathematics and Statistics For Political Research POLI783

Now, let's examine the variance of  $\hat{\beta}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\beta}\right] = \operatorname{Var}\left[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\varepsilon)\right] \\ = & \mathbb{E}\left[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\varepsilon\varepsilon'\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right] \\ = & \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathbf{X}_{i}\mathbf{X}_{i}'\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathbf{X}_{i}\mathbf{X}_{i}'\varepsilon_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathbf{X}_{i}\mathbf{X}_{i}'\right)^{-1}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \varepsilon_i^2 \to \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \varepsilon_i^2 \right] = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \right]$  if  $\text{Var} \left[ \varepsilon_i \right] = \sigma^2$ .
- ► Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{N} * \mathsf{Var} \left[ \hat{\beta} \right] &\to \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \right] \right)^{-1} \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \right] \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \right] \right)^{-1} \\ &= &\sigma^2 \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \right] \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $N * \text{Var} \left[ \hat{\beta} \right]$  converges to a  $(P+1) \times (P+1)$  matrix (the variance-covariance matrix).
- $\hat{\beta} \to \beta$  when  $N \to \infty$ .

### Best linear unbiased estimator

- ▶ When  $Var[\varepsilon_i] = \sigma^2$ , we can show that the OLS estimator is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE).
- ▶ Consider another linear estimator  $\tilde{\beta} = \mathbf{AY}$ , where **A** is a  $(P+1) \times N$  matrix.
- ▶ For unbiasedness, we need  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{AY}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{AX}\beta] = \beta$ .
- ▶ Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{AX}] = \mathbf{I}$ , and we can define  $\check{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}$ .
- ▶ Then,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\check{\mathsf{A}}\mathsf{X}\right] = \mathsf{I} \mathsf{I} = \mathsf{0}$ .
- ▶ Following the same logic, we can show that

$$\mathcal{N} * \mathsf{Var}\left[\tilde{eta}
ight] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{A}arepsilonarepsilon'\mathbf{A}'
ight] \ 
ightarrow \mathcal{N} * \mathsf{Var}\left[\hat{eta}
ight] + \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\check{\mathbf{A}}_i \check{\mathbf{A}}_i'
ight].$$

▶  $N * \text{Var}\left[\tilde{\beta}\right] - N * \text{Var}\left[\hat{\beta}\right]$  converges to a semi positive-definite matrix, thus  $\hat{\beta}$  is more efficient.

- ▶ Remember that the vector of regression residuals is  $\hat{\varepsilon} = (\hat{\varepsilon}_1, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_N)'$ , where  $\hat{\varepsilon}_i = Y_i \mathbf{X}_i'\hat{\beta}$ .
- We can estimate  $N* \operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\beta}\right]$  using its sample analogue:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N - P - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2,$$

$$\left(\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \right] \right)^{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' \right)^{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1}.$$

- ▶ N-P-1 is known as the degree of freedom (dof) of the model, and it equals the trace of the residual-making matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$ .
- ► Therefore,  $\widehat{\mathsf{Var}}\left[\hat{\beta}\right] = \left(\frac{1}{N-P-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ .

## Inference in multivariate regression: simulation

```
## The regression standard error estimates are 0.2011642 0
## The regression estimates are 4.185089 -2.975853 5.005429
## The regression standard error estimates are 0.2011642 0
## The true standard errors are 0.2248701 0.07598621 0.1963
## The average regression standard error estimates are 0.20
```

## The regression estimates are 4.185089 -2.975853 5.005429

- In practice, heteroscedasticity is more common, and the previous estimator no longer works.
- ▶ In this case, we can estimate the variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  using

$$\widehat{\mathsf{Var}}\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right] = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1},$$

where  $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\varepsilon}\hat{\varepsilon}'$ .

- ▶ This is known as the sandwich variance estimator.
- Since the units are independent to each other, we impose the constraint that  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is diagonal, hence  $\mathbf{X}'\hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{2}\mathbf{X}_{i}\mathbf{X}_{i}'$ .
- ► This is the Eicker-Huber-White (EHW) robust variance estimator.

It is easy to show that

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, N * \mathsf{Var}\left[\hat{\beta}\right]\right).$$

▶ Hence, we can construct the 95% confidence interval of any element in  $\beta$  as

$$\left[\hat{\beta}_{\it p} - 1.96*\sqrt{\widehat{\sf Var}\left[\hat{\beta}_{\it p}\right]}, \hat{\beta}_{\it p} + 1.96*\sqrt{\widehat{\sf Var}\left[\hat{\beta}_{\it p}\right]}\right].$$

- ▶ In theory, the coverage rate should be 95%.
- ▶ But in practice, it is usually much lower than that (the Behrens–Fisher problem).

### Inference in multivariate regression: simulation

```
## The regression estimates are 3.96365 -2.912993 5.643425
## The regression standard error estimates are 0.3586602 0
## The robust standard error estimates are 0.2632776 0.1208
## The true standard errors are 0.2868046 0.1200374 0.56117
## The average regression standard error estimates are 0.38
## The average robust standard error estimates are 0.263277
```

- ▶ We do know that  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_p \beta_p}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_p]}}$  converges to normality at the root-N rate.
- ▶ But we replace the denominator with an estimate, which creates complex asymptotics in the statistic.
- ▶ When  $\varepsilon$  is normal, we know that  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_p \beta_p}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}[\hat{\beta}_p]}}$  **obeys** the t-distribution.
- Using critical values from the normal distribution causes bias.
- After all, asymptotic distribution is an approximation!

- Multiple solutions have been proposed (but never welcomed).
- ▶ We can modify the variance estimate or the critical value.
- ▶ There are multiple variance estimators.
- ► HC1: multiply  $\widehat{\text{Var}}\left[\hat{\beta}\right]$  by  $\frac{N}{N-P-1}$ .
- ▶ HC2: replace each  $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$  with  $\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_i}{\sqrt{1-P_{ii}}}$ , where  $P_{ii}$  is the (i,i)th entry of the projection matrix.
- ► HC3: replace each  $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$  with  $\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_i}{1-P_{ii}}$ .
- We can use the critical value from the t-distribution rather than the normal distribution.
- ► The t-distribution requires researchers to specify the degree of freedom of the model.
- ▶ See Imbens and Kolesar (2016) for technical details.

#### Measurement error

Our outcome and regressors may be measured with error:

$$Y_i = Y_i^* + e_{Y_i},$$
  
 $\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{X}_i^* + \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}_i}.$ 

- ▶ If  $e_{Y_i}$  ( $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}_i}$ ) is independent to  $Y_i^*$  ( $\mathbf{X}_i^*$ ),  $\mathbb{E}[e_{Y_i}] = 0$  ( $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}_i}] = \mathbf{0}$ ), and  $\text{Var}[e_{Y_i}] < \infty$  ( $\text{Var}[\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}_i}] < \infty$ ), it is known as the classical measurement error.
- In this case, our true regression model is  $\mathbf{Y}^* = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon$  (or  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^*\beta + \varepsilon$ ).
- ▶ With the classical measurement error only in *Y*, we have

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}) = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{Y}^* + \mathbf{e}_Y))$$
$$= \beta + (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'(\varepsilon + \mathbf{e}_Y)) \to \beta.$$

► The OLS estimator remains consistent, but its variance becomes larger.

#### Measurement error

▶ With the classical measurement error only in **X**, we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta} &= \left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}\right) \\ &= \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)' \left(\mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)\right)^{-1} \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)' \left(\mathbf{X}^* \beta + \varepsilon\right)\right) \\ &\rightarrow \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_i^* \left(\mathbf{X}_i^*\right)'\right] + \mathsf{Var}\left[\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}i}\right]\right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_i^* \left(\mathbf{X}_i^*\right)'\right] \beta \neq \beta. \end{split}$$

In the bivariate case,

$$\hat{\beta} \to \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(D_i^*\right)^2\right]\beta}{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(D_i^*\right)^2\right] + \mathsf{Var}\left[\mathbf{e}_{Di}\right]} < \beta.$$

This is known as the attenuation bias.

- ▶ The regression model enables us to test hypothesis regarding a linear combination of  $\beta$ .
- ▶ They usually take the form of  $\mathbf{R}\beta = \mathbf{r}$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  is a  $R \times (P+1)$  matrix.
- R is the number of hypotheses.
- ▶ For example, when P=2 and the null hypotheses are  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_2 = 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

▶ Using the asymptotic normality of  $\hat{\beta}$ , we know that

$$egin{aligned} \sqrt{\textit{N}}(\mathsf{R}\hat{eta}-\mathsf{R}eta) &= \sqrt{\textit{N}}(\mathsf{R}\hat{eta}-\mathsf{r}) \ & o \mathcal{N}\left(0,\textit{N}*\mathsf{R}\,\mathsf{Var}\left[\hat{eta}
ight]\mathsf{R}'
ight). \end{aligned}$$

▶ Therefore, the Wald statistic

$$W = (\mathbf{R}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{r})' \left( \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Var} \left[ \hat{\beta} \right] \mathbf{R}' \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{R}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{r}) \to \chi^2(R).$$

- ▶ We reject the null hypothesis if W is sufficiently large.
- The Wald test is equivalent to the F-test under homoscedasticity, as

$$F = \frac{W}{R} \sim F(R, N - P - 1).$$

► A specific null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \beta_p = 0.$$

▶ How do we write it in the linear form?

$$\mathbf{R} = (0, ..., 1, ..., 0)'$$
 and  $\mathbf{r} = 0$ 

In this case, the Wald statistic equals

$$W = \hat{\beta}_p \left( \mathsf{Var} \left[ \hat{\beta}_p \right] \right)^{-1} \hat{\beta}_p = \frac{\hat{\beta}_p^2}{\mathsf{Var} \left[ \hat{\beta}_p \right]}.$$

•  $W o \chi^2(R)$  and  $\sqrt{W} o \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .

Another specific null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_P = 0.$$

▶ How do we write it in the linear form?

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case, the Wald statistic equals

$$W = \hat{\beta}'_{-0} \left( \mathsf{Var} \left[ \hat{\beta}_{-0} \right] \right)^{-1} \hat{\beta}_{-0}.$$

We reject the null hypothesis if  $\frac{W}{R}$ 's value is larger than the 95% threshold under the F distribution.

```
Hypothesis testing in multivariate regression: simulation
   ##
   ## Call:
   ## lm(formula = Y ~ X)
   ##
   ## Residuals:
         Min 1Q Median 3Q
   ##
                                     Max
   ## -4.1969 -1.0197 0.0980 0.8774 4.6045
   ##
   ## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
   ##
   ## (Intercept) 3.7664 0.3868 9.738 9.85e-14 ***
   ## XX1
            -0.3003 0.1394 -2.154 0.0355 *
               0.8222 0.3917 2.099 0.0402 *
   ## XX2
   ## ---
```

##
## Residual standard error: 1.725 on 57 degrees of freedom

## Multiple R-squared: 0.1303, Adjusted R-squared: 0,099

### References I

Imbens, Guido W, and Michal Kolesar. 2016. "Robust Standard Errors in Small Samples: Some Practical Advice." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 98 (4): 701–12.