

Complex Experimental Design

Ye Wang

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Linear Methods in Causal Inference

POLI784

Review

- ▶ We learned how to use kernel regression to estimate the CATE.
- ▶ For any local parameter that is smooth around x , we can estimate its value using information from the neighbors.
- ▶ Within the neighborhood, we can use regression models to increase the accuracy (local regression).
- ▶ Bandwidth selection is critical in kernel regression since it is about the bias-variance trade-off.
- ▶ We can find the optimal bandwidth through cross-validation.

Block randomization

- ▶ Controlling covariates in Lin's regression leads to efficiency gains.
- ▶ This is an **ex post** adjustment.
- ▶ Another way to achieve this is to control for them **ex ante**.
- ▶ Suppose we observe \mathbf{X}_i before randomizing the treatment, and dimensionality of the covariates space is not too high.
- ▶ For example, we may have two binary covariates, $old_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $college_i \in \{0, 1\}$.
- ▶ We can divide the sample into blocks based on the values of covariates, and randomize within each block:

$$(old_i, college_i) = \begin{cases} (0, 0), \\ (0, 1), \\ (1, 0), \\ (1, 1). \end{cases}$$

Block randomization

- ▶ Within each block, we may implement either the Bernoulli trial or complete randomization.
- ▶ We can allow the probability of being treated to vary across blocks:

$$p_i = \begin{cases} 0.2 & \text{if } (old_i, college_i) = (0, 0), \\ 0.3 & \text{if } (old_i, college_i) = (0, 1), \\ 0.8 & \text{if } (old_i, college_i) = (1, 0), \\ 0.4 & \text{if } (old_i, college_i) = (1, 1). \end{cases}$$

- ▶ We have seen that it might be desirable to treat more units in groups where the CATE is larger.
- ▶ Now, the probability of being treated is a function of \mathbf{X}_i :
 $p_i = P(D_i = 1 | \mathbf{X}_i) = g(\mathbf{X}_i)$.
- ▶ These treatment assignment mechanisms are individualistic, probabilistic, and unconfounded.
- ▶ They are known as block randomization or stratified experiments.

Block randomization: assumption

- ▶ Such a design implies the following two assumptions:

$$D_i \perp \{Y_i(0), Y_i(1)\} | \mathbf{X}_i,$$
$$0 < g(\mathbf{X}_i) < 1.$$

- ▶ Suppose $g(\mathbf{X}_i)$ is not a constant and \mathbf{X}_i affect the value of Y_i .
- ▶ \mathbf{X}_i also affect the value of D_i through $g(\mathbf{X}_i)$.
- ▶ If old individuals are treated with a higher probability, there will be more of them in the treatment group.
- ▶ Now, \mathbf{X}_i are confounders rather than just moderators.
- ▶ The difference-in-means estimator is no longer consistent.

Block randomization: estimation

- ▶ We need to account for the difference in the probability of being treated to acquire unbiased or consistent estimates.
- ▶ Note that $g(\mathbf{X}_i)$ is a constant within each block.
- ▶ Hence, we can first estimate the CATE in each block and then take the average over the estimates, weighted by the proportion of each block.
- ▶ Suppose we have two groups, the old and the young, with sizes N_O and N_Y .
- ▶ The number of treated units in the two groups are N_{1O} and N_{1Y} , respectively.
- ▶ We should first estimate τ_O , τ_Y , σ_O^2 , and σ_Y^2 as before, and obtain

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{N_O}{N} \hat{\tau}_O + \frac{N_Y}{N} \hat{\tau}_Y$$

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}[\hat{\tau}] = \frac{N_O^2}{N^2} \hat{\sigma}_O^2 + \frac{N_Y^2}{N^2} \hat{\sigma}_Y^2.$$

Block randomization: estimation

- ▶ Or, we apply the HT or HA estimator with varying probabilities:

$$\hat{\tau}_{HT} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{D_i Y_i}{g(\mathbf{X}_i)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(1 - D_i) Y_i}{1 - g(\mathbf{X}_i)}.$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{HA} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{D_i Y_i / g(\mathbf{X}_i)}{D_i / g(\mathbf{X}_i)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(1 - D_i) Y_i / (1 - g(\mathbf{X}_i))}{(1 - D_i) / (1 - g(\mathbf{X}_i))}.$$

- ▶ It is easy to show that these estimators are consistent and asymptotically normal.
- ▶ Variance estimation can be obtained by adjusting the original formula.
- ▶ Therefore, we have two (equivalent) approaches to estimate the ATE.
- ▶ But they are based on slightly different ideas.

Block randomization: estimation

- ▶ We may still rely on the regression estimator under block randomization.
- ▶ We can show that the first estimator is equivalent to running Lin's regression with block indicators as covariates.
- ▶ In the previous example, we have

$$Y_i = \mu + \tau D_i + \beta(\text{old}_i - \overline{\text{old}}) + \delta D_i * (\text{old}_i - \overline{\text{old}}) + \varepsilon_i.$$

- ▶ The second estimator (Hajek) is equivalent to the WLS estimator, as we illustrated before.
- ▶ Remember that the weight for unit i equals

$$W_i = \frac{D_i}{g(\mathbf{X}_i)} + \frac{1 - D_i}{1 - g(\mathbf{X}_i)}$$

- ▶ Again, we should apply the HC2 variance estimator.

Block randomization: simulation

The true ATE is 3.278

The estimate from the unweighted HA estimator is 3.769

The estimate from the weighted HA estimator is 3.252

The Lin's regression estimates is 3.252

The WLS estimates is 3.252

Block randomization: discussion

- ▶ We should block on covariates which are expected to have a strong prediction power for the outcome.
- ▶ Sometimes we use existing strata like schools or villages.
- ▶ Blocking is ensured to reduce the variance of your estimator, since

$$\text{Var}[E[\hat{\tau}|\mathbf{X}_i]] \leq \text{Var}[\hat{\tau}].$$

- ▶ We can combine block randomization with regression adjustment, e.g., applying Lin's regression within each block.
- ▶ There exists a tradeoff between the balance in observable covariates and the balance in unobservable covariates (Harshaw et al. 2019).
- ▶ To improve how well our experiment predicts the reality, balancing all the variables may not be the optimal choice.

Cluster-randomized experiments

- ▶ Sometimes it is impossible or too costly to assign the treatment at the unit level.
- ▶ Instead, we randomize at a higher level, such as villages, schools, clinics, etc.
- ▶ Each unit at this higher level is called a cluster, denoted as $\{\mathcal{C}_c\}_{c=1}^C$.
- ▶ Every unit in the same cluster receives the same treatment.
- ▶ A cluster is different from a stratum or block!
- ▶ We can still rely on the estimators we have learned.
- ▶ But the standard errors have to be adjusted (clustered).

Clustered standard errors

- ▶ Remember that in the regression setting, we estimate the standard errors with

$$\widehat{Var}[\hat{\beta}] = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\hat{\varepsilon}\hat{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{X}')(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1},$$

where $\mathbf{X}\hat{\varepsilon}\hat{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{X}' = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i'$.

- ▶ All the off-diagonal elements in $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\varepsilon}\hat{\varepsilon}'$ equal to zero.
- ▶ This is true only when the units are independent to each other (as $E[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] = 0$).
- ▶ In clustering experiments, dependence within clusters leads to the fact that $E[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] \neq 0$ if i and j belongs to the same cluster.

Clustered standard errors

- ▶ The sandwich variance estimator is still valid.
- ▶ But we need to calculate the off-diagonal elements in $\hat{\Sigma}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}\hat{\varepsilon}\hat{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{X}' &= \sum_{c=1}^C \mathbf{X}_c \hat{\varepsilon}_c \hat{\varepsilon}_c' \mathbf{X}_c' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j:\{i,j \in \mathcal{C}_c\}} \hat{\varepsilon}_i \hat{\varepsilon}_j \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j',\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}_c$ represents the regression residuals for units from cluster c , and \mathbf{X}_c represents the covariates of these units.

- ▶ The robust standard error may overestimate or underestimate the true variance.
- ▶ It hinges on the correlation between units within the same cluster.

Clustered standard errors

- ▶ The model-based approach justifies clustered standard errors with unobservable group attributes.
- ▶ But this is not testable and leads to confusions in practice.
- ▶ Abadie et al. (2017) argue that we should cluster standard errors when either there is clustering in sampling or in the design.
- ▶ We should focus on clustering in the treatment rather than the outcome.
- ▶ Remember that in any finite sample, the potential outcomes are fixed, and randomness only comes from the design.
- ▶ There is no need to always cluster at the highest level.

Asymptotics in cluster-randomized experiments

- ▶ Because units in the same cluster are dependent on each other, the effective sample size is smaller than N .
- ▶ As N grows, C should grow while $\frac{N}{C}$ remains stable.
- ▶ Then, we expect the asymptotic distribution to be normal and the convergence rate to be \sqrt{C} (Su and Ding 2021).
- ▶ In practice, there should be no cluster that is much larger than the others in size.
- ▶ Su and Ding (2021) suggest that we can 1) calculate the weighted average outcome in each cluster,

$$\bar{Y}_c = \frac{C}{N} \sum_{i \in C_c} Y_i,$$

and 2) estimate the effect of D_c on \bar{Y}_c using Lin's regression and cluster averages of the covariates.

- ▶ It is ensured to be more efficient, and we only need to use the robust variance estimator.

Cluster-randomized experiments: application

The SATE is 3.901231

The OLS estimate is 3.939

The OLS estimate using aggregated outcome is 3.939

The true variance of the OLS estimate is 3.308

The true variance of the OLS estimate

using aggregated outcome is 3.308

The estimated variance of the OLS estimate is 3.734

The estimated variance of the OLS estimate

using aggregated outcome is 2.955

The clustered variance of the OLS estimate is 3.039

Cluster-randomized experiments: application

The SATE is 3.901231

The OLS estimate is 3.885

The true variance of the OLS estimate is 3.601

The estimated variance of the OLS estimate is 3.615

The clustered variance of the OLS estimate is 3.624

References I

- Abadie, Alberto, Susan Athey, Guido W Imbens, and Jeffrey Wooldridge. 2017. “When Should You Adjust Standard Errors for Clustering?” National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Harshaw, Christopher, Fredrik Sävje, Daniel Spielman, and Peng Zhang. 2019. “Balancing Covariates in Randomized Experiments Using the Gram-Schmidt Walk.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1911.03071*.
- Su, Fangzhou, and Peng Ding. 2021. “Model-Assisted Analyses of Cluster-Randomized Experiments.” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology* 83 (5): 994–1015.